



System Analysis Guidance for Assessment of Carbon Capture Technology

Targeting \$40/tonne CO₂ Captured Costs

Michael Matuszewski

Carbon Capture Technology Manager

July 29, 2014



Objectives

- Resources for conducting Techno-Economic Analysis (TEA)
- Interpreting & Calculating Cost to Capture CO₂
- Steps to performing a TEA
 - 1. Creating a Model
 - 2. Performance Modeling
 - 3. Cost Estimating COE and Cost of Carbon Captured
- Reporting Requirements (RR)
 - Tagged through document as (RR)



NETL Baseline TEA Studies Establish A Common Reference and Starting Point

Volume	Title	Description	Notes
1	<u>Bituminous Coal</u> and Natural Gas to Electricity, aka Bituminous Baseline	Establishes performance and cost data for fossil energy power systems for integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC), pulverized coal (PC), and natural gas combined cycle (NGCC) plants	All plants are modeled with and without carbon capture and sequestration All plants are conducted at International Organization for Standardization (ISO) conditions PC and IGCC plants fire Illinois No. 6 bituminous coal
3	Low-Rank Coal and Natural Gas to Electricity, aka Low Rank Baseline	Establishes performance and cost data for fossil energy power systems for IGCC, PC, and NGCC plants	All plants are modeled with and without carbon capture and sequestration All plants are conducted at Montana elevation (3,400 ft.) and North Dakota elevation (1,900 ft.) PC and IGCC plants fire either Powder River Basin (PRB) sub-bituminous coal or North Dakota lignite (NDL) coal



NETL Has Quality Guidelines that Help Define the Design Basis and Modeling Assumptions

Title	Description		
Detailed Coal Specifications	Provides data on the coal industry and detailed specifications for seven coals commonly used in energy system studies for NETL.		
Specifications for Selected Feedstocks	Provides recommended specifications for various feedstocks that are commonly found in NETL-sponsored energy system studies. Adhering to these specifications should enhance the consistency of such studies. NETL recommends these guidelines be followed in the absence of any compelling market, project, or site-specific requirements in order to facilitate comparison of studies evaluating coal-based technologies.		
Process Modeling Design Parameters	Documents the process modeling assumptions most commonly used in systems analysis studies and the basis for those assumptions. The large number of assumptions required for a systems analysis makes it impractical to document the entire set in each report. This document should serve as a comprehensive reference for these assumptions as well as their justification.		
Capital Cost Scaling Methodology	Provides a standard basis for scaling capital costs, with specific emphasis on scaling exponents. This document contains a listing of frequently used pieces of equipment and their corresponding scaling exponent for various plant types, along with their ranges of applicability. The intention of having a standardized document is to provide guidelines for proper procedures to reduce the potential for errors and increase credibility through consistency.		
Cost Estimation Methodology	Summarizes the cost estimation methodology employed by NETL in its assessment of power plant performance.		
Estimating Carbon Dioxide Transport and Storage Costs	Estimates the cost of CO_2 transport and storage (T&S) in a deep saline aquifer for plant locations used in the energy system studies sponsored by NETL. Due to the variances in the geologic formations that make up saline aquifers across the United States, the cost to store CO_2 can vary greatly depending on location. To account for these variances, region-specific results from NETL's CO_2 Saline Storage Cost Model are utilized to represent costs for plant locations used in NETL studies: Midwest, Texas, North Dakota, and Montana. Transport costs are calculated based on a generic 100 km (62 mi) dedicated pipeline for all regions. Storage and monitoring costs represent significant storage potential (up to 25 billion tonnes of CO_2) in local sedimentary basins.		
CO ₂ Impurity Design Parameters	Provides recommended impurity limits for CO_2 stream components for use in conceptual studies of CO_2 carbon capture, utilization, and storage systems. These limits were developed from information consolidated from numerous studies and are presented by component. Impurity levels are provided for limitations of carbon steel pipelines, enhanced oil recovery (EOR), saline reservoir sequestration, and co-sequestration of CO_2 and H_2S in saline reservoirs.		
Fuel Prices for Selected Feedstocks	Provides an estimate of the market price delivered to specific end-use areas of four coals that are commonly used as feedstocks in the energy system studies sponsored by NETL. Also includes the estimated market price for natural gas delivered to three different regions.		



Resources for TEA Development

Baseline studies

- A Series of documents that provide Baseline's for comparison
- <u>http://www.netl.doe.gov/research/energy-analysis/energy-baseline-studies</u>

• Quality Guidelines for Energy System Studies (QGESS) Documents

- A series of documents that provide the details to performing TEAs
- <u>http://www.netl.doe.gov/research/energy-analysis/quality-guidelines-qgess</u>
- Also includes a manuscript titled "A proposed methodology for CO₂ capture and storage cost estimates" that outlines a methodology for costing

http://www.netl.doe.gov





OIL & GAS

CO₂ Captured Cost Interpretation

$$Cost of CO_2 Captured = \frac{(COE_{With cc} - COE_{Without cc})}{CO_2 Captured}$$

- Plant gate revenue required to incentivize carbon capture
- Assumes the CC plant and the reference plant <u>receive</u> their associated COE required to realize 12% ROE
- Does not include:
 - TS&M
 - Risk/Uncertainty
- Is NOT:
 - Avoided cost (not covered here)
 - Equivalent to motivating CO₂ tax on emissions



Economic Analysis – Cost of CO₂ Captured

• The cost of CO₂ capture is formally:

$$Cost of CO_2 Captured = \frac{(COE_{With CC} - COE_{Without CC})}{CO_2 Captured}$$

- Where:
 - COE_{with CC}: The plant modeled with novel technology
 - COE_{without CC}: Reference non-capture plant
 - Generally Case 11 in the Bituminous Baseline
 - COE = \$81/MWh (2011 \$)
 - CO₂ captured (denominator) equals the rate of CO₂ captured with units [tonne/MWh_{with cc}]



Economic Analysis – Breaking Down COE

- Cost of electricity (COE) is the required revenue a power plant must receive for the electricity generated.
 - An increase in the COE represents an increase in the publics' electricity bill
 - Capital Charge Factor (CCF) has been developed to aggregate financial assumptions like financial structures, tax structures, loan interest, etc. and allows a more straightforward comparison between Baseline and newly modeled plants
- A simplified equation can be utilized to determine the COE for Baseline comparison purposes

	First year capital charge	first year + fixed operating +	first year variable operating
COE =		<u>costs</u> nnual net megawatt	<u>costs</u> t hours
	of power generation		

$$COE = \frac{CCF \cdot TOC + OC_{FIX} + CF \cdot OC_{VAR}}{CF \cdot MWh}$$



Economic Analysis – COE

$$COE = \frac{CCF \cdot TOC + OC_{FIX} + CF \cdot OC_{VAR}}{CF \cdot MWh}$$

- Where (all items below are to be reported (RR)):
 - COE = Revenue required by the generator during the power plant's first year of operation
 - CCF = Capital charge factor
 - TOC = Total overnight capital
 - OC_{FIX} = Sum of all fixed annual operating costs
 - OC_{VAR} = Sum of all variable annual operating costs at 100 percent capacity
 - CF = Plant capacity factor
 - MWh = Annual <u>net</u> megawatt-hours



COE Requires Multiple Significant Calculations

Term	Variable(s)	Calculation Requirement(s)	Instruction Source(s)
Capital Cost	TOC	 Baseline costs Scaling Contingency "Bearable costs" 	 BB Study Capital Reference QGESS: Scaling Equipment Costs Goal Requirement
Operating Costs	OC _{FIX} , OC _{VAR}	 Baseline costs Scaling Contingency "Bearable costs" 	 BB Study Operating Cost Reference
Power Output	MWh	 Full System Analysis 	BB Case 11QGESS website



Capital Costs

- Equipment that is not affected by implementation of new capture technology can be directly used or scaled from the Baseline reports
- Capital costs for unique equipment may be calculated by several methods:
 - If analogous equipment is available either in an NETL baseline study or otherwise, the scaling method is preferred
 - If analogs are not available, the developer should do a bottomup estimate of the unique equipment
 - If neither an analog-based nor a bottom-up estimate can be produced, research goals or bearable costs should be provided
- The methodology, reference equipment, and sources of data should be documented in detail within the TEA



Capital Costs – Research Goals and Bearable Costs

- If a scaled or bottom-up costing approach is not applicable, a cost for novel technology can be reported as either research goals or bearable costs
- Research goals Intended targets for the costs of technology under development
 - Detailed descriptions as to the reason for the selection of the targets should be provided
 - Available data that supports the goal selection should be provided
- Bearable costs The costs at which the technology meets a specific goal
 - If a goal has been provided (e.g. < 30 percent increase in COE), the bearable cost to meet the goal can be calculated



Scope of Capital Costs (RR)



Economic Analysis – Operating Costs (RR)

COE =	$CCF \cdot TOC + OC_{FIX} + CF \cdot OC_{VAR}$		
	CF · MWh		

Fixed Operating Costs (OC _{FIX})	Variable Operating Costs (OC _{VAR})
Annual Operating Labor Cost	Maintenance Material Cost
Maintenance Labor Cost	Fuel
Administrative & Support Labor	Other Consumables
Property Taxes and Insurance	Waste Disposal
	Emission Costs
	Byproduct Revenues

OC costs reported should be similar to those found in the Baseline Reports



Power Output Calculation Requires TEA

- Very complex Usually requires process simulator
- Requires lots of steps, analysis, assumptions
- Equipment and operations not directly involved in the CO₂ Capture technology research should be modeled according to QGESS documentation
 - Standardizes "apples-to-apples" comparison
 - Very thorough QGESS library addresses virtually all assumptions necessary for TEA



Instructions for TEA Calculating CO₂ Captured Cost

- These are success criteria take them seriously!
- Two (2) TEA's required ideally:
 - 1. Baseline plant without CC
 - 2. Baseline plant with *your* CC technology
 - No other changes to BOP are permitted in analysis
- You should produce in-house TEA #1 and match BB performance/cost
- For TEA #2, use BB and QGESS documentation as detailed within
 - Estimate "bearable cost" (or performance) for capture technology that cannot be adequately estimated consistent with DOE goal



Creating a Performance Model

Determine a baseline case from the Baseline Studies (RR)

- Choose a Baseline that the technology can be applied to
- Reduce costing and modeling efforts with the correct baseline
- Non-research related units should remain constant with Baseline and QGESS documents.
 - If non-research units require size adjustment, QGESS for <u>Capital Cost</u> <u>Scaling Methodology</u> should be used (RR)
 - <u>http://www.netl.doe.gov/File%20Library/research/energy%20analysis/publicati</u> ons/QGESS_CapitalCostScalingMethodology_Final_20130201.pdf
- Variation must be well justified in the TEA document (RR)



Performance Modeling - Design Basis

QGESS on Process Modeling Design

- Site Conditions
- Steam cycle conditions
- Coal Combustion parameters
- Gasifier Performance

- Syngas processing
- Sulfur processing
- Equations of State
- Cooling water parameters

- Items to hold constant (RR)
 - PC application hold net power output constant
 - IGCC application hold combustion turbine output constant



Performance Modeling - Design Basis

Feedstock: QGESS on Feedstock Specifications

http://www.netl.doe.gov/File%20Library/research/energy%20analysis /publications/QGESSSec1.pdf

- Natural Gas Composition
- Various Coal Compositions
- Limestone analysis



- LHV and HHV
- CO₂ Specifications: QGESS on CO₂ impurities

http://www.netl.doe.gov/File%20Library/Research/Energy%20Analys is/Publications/QGESS_CO2Purity_Rev3_20130927_1.pdf

- CO₂ delivery pressure
- Individual contaminate concentration limits
- CO₂ minimum concentration
- Specifications for intended use (Saline, EOR, etc.)
- Venting concerns
- CCUS specifications



Design Basis - Inconsistencies

- Items that are often inconsistently reported that create issues without justification:
 - Condenser pressure
 - Steam cycle conditions (e.g. reheat temperature)
 - Combustion turbine conditions (e.g. turbine inlet temperature)
 - Cooling water temperature
 - ASU performance and oxygen quality
 - Emissions levels
 - Equipment selection
- Inconsistencies without justification may require further communication or resubmission of report
 - Creates over-budget & schedule slip risks







Model Results

Other performance metrics to be calculated and reported

- Total power from turbines (RR)
- New auxiliary loads (RR)
- Net Power (RR)
- Equipment NOT affected by CC can be scaled
 - Capital Cost Scaling Methodology
 - <u>http://www.netl.doe.gov/File%20Library/r</u> <u>esearch/energy%20analysis/publication</u> <u>s/QGESS_CapitalCostScalingMethodol</u> <u>ogy_Final_20130201.pdf</u>

POWER SUMMARY (Gross Power at Generator Terminals, kW Gas Turbine Power	464,000
Sweet Gas Expander Power	7,500
Steam Turbine Power	276,300
TOTAL POWER, kWe	747,800
AUXILIARY LOAD SUMMARY, kWe Coal Handling	460
Coal Milling	2,180
Sour Water Recycle Slurry Pump	180
Slag Handling	1,120
Air Separation Unit Auxiliaries	1,000
Air Separation Unit Main Air Compressor	53,820
Oxygen Compressor	10,260
Nitrogen Compressors	33,340
Boiler Feedwater Pumps	3,980
Condensate Pump	230
Quench Water Pump	520
Circulating Water Pump	4,200
Ground Water Pumps	430
Cooling Tower Fans	2,170
Scrubber Pumps	220
Acid Gas Removal	2,590
Gas Turbine Auxiliaries	1,000
Steam Turbine Auxiliaries	100
Claus Plant/TGTU Auxiliaries	250
Claus Plant TG Recycle Compressor	2,090
Miscellaneous Balance of Plant ²	3,000
Transformer Losses	2,610
TOTAL AUXILIARIES, kWe	125,750
NET POWER, kWe	622,050
Net Plant Efficiency, % (HHV)	39.0
Net Plant Heat Rate, kJ/kWh (Btu/kWh)	9,238 (8,756)
CONDENSER COOLING DUTY 10 ⁶ kJ/hr (10 ⁶ Btu/hr)	1,540 (1,460)
CONSUMABLES	-, (-,100)
As-Received Coal Feed, kg/hr (lb/hr)	211,783 (466,901)
Thermal Input ¹ , kWt	1,596,320
Raw Water Withdrawal, m ³ /min (gpm)	17.9 (4,735)
Raw Water Consumption, m ³ /min (gpm)	14.2 (3,755)

Model Results

- Other performance metrics to be calculated and reported
- CO₂ impurities (RR)
- Air emissions
- Water withdraw, consumption, and discharge (RR)
 - If new technology creates impurities in water discharge, this must be documented
- Heat rate, efficiencies, etc.
 (RR)
 - HHV Commonly used for NETL reporting purposes

POWER SUMMARY (Gross Power at Generator Terminals, kWe)		
Gas Turbine Power	464,000	
Sweet Gas Expander Power	7,500	
Steam Turbine Power	276,300	
TOTAL POWER, kWe	747,800	
AUXILIARY LOAD SUMMARY, kWe		
Coal Handling	460	
Coal Milling	2,180	
Sour Water Recycle Slurry Pump	180	
Slag Handling	1,120	
Air Separation Unit Auxiliaries	1,000	
Air Separation Unit Main Air Compressor	53,820	
Oxygen Compressor	10,260	
Nitrogen Compressors	33,340	
Boiler Feedwater Pumps	3,980	
Condensate Pump	230	
Quench Water Pump	520	
Circulating Water Pump	4,200	
Ground Water Pumps	430	
Cooling Tower Fans	2,170	
Scrubber Pumps	220	
Acid Gas Removal	2,590	
Gas Turbine Auxiliaries	1,000	
Steam Turbine Auxiliaries	100	
Claus Plant/TGTU Auxiliaries	250	
Claus Plant TG Recycle Compressor	2,090	
Miscellaneous Balance of Plant ²	3,000	
Transformer Losses	2,610	
TOTAL AUXILIARIES, kWe	125,750	
NET POWER, kWe	622,050	
Net Plant Efficiency, % (HHV)	39.0	
Net Plant Heat Rate, kJ/kWh (Btu/kWh)	9,238 (8,756)	
CONDENSER COOLING DUTY 10 ⁶ kJ/hr (10 ⁶ Btu/hr)	1,540 (1,460)	
CONSUMABLES		
As-Received Coal Feed, kg/hr (lb/hr)	211,783 (466,901)	
Thermal Input ¹ , kWt	1,596,320	
Raw Water Withdrawal, m ³ /min (gpm)	17.9 (4,735)	
Raw Water Consumption, m ³ /min (gpm)	14.2 (3,755)	

COE Also Requires Assumptions

$COE = \frac{\mathbf{CCF} \cdot TOC + OC_{FIX} + \mathbf{CF} \cdot OC_{VAR}}{\mathbf{CF} \cdot \mathbf{MWh}}$

Plant Type	CCF w/ CC	CF
PC	0.124	0.85
IGCC	0.124	0.80
NGCC	0.111	0.85

- The **CCF** is a term that takes into account the financial aspects of the plant and simplifies them to a single term for simplicity. Greater detail can be found in the QGESS documents.
- The **CF** is a term that accounts for the fraction of the year that the power plant is producing electricity for the grid. This is in general a variable value with a moderate range, but is fixed per the above for purposes of comparison.



Expected Accuracy of NETL Cost Estimates



Process flow diagrams (PFDs) and piping and instrument diagrams (P&IDs) are the primary documents that define project scope. Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering International (AACE) Recommended Practice No. 18R-97 describes the AACE cost estimate classification system.



Cost Estimation Methodology

Capital Cost Breakdown

- Estimate Class
- Contingency Guidelines
- Owner's Cost Recommendations
- Estimate Scope
- Project Scope

• Economic Analysis

- Global Economic Assumptions
- Recommended Financing Structures
- Estimation of COE



NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY





26 Cost Estimation Methodology: http://www.netl.doe.gov/File%20Library/research/energy%20analysis/publications/QGESSNETLCostEstMethod.pdf

Economic Analysis – COE

$$COE = \frac{CCF \cdot TOC + OC_{FIX} + CF \cdot OC_{VAR}}{CF \cdot MWh}$$

Once COE has been calculated:

- Compare to Baseline studies (RR)
- Sensitivity analysis can be conducted to guide research or suggest future goals (RR)

Examples include:

- reduced cost of manufacturing of capture material,
- changes in kinetics reduce pressure drop,
- reduced heat of reaction to reduce regeneration duties
- Cost of CO₂ Captured can be determined for base and alternative cases (RR)



REPORTING REQUIREMENTS



Reporting Requirements

- Creating a Model
 - State base case that will be used
 - State Design Basis parameters (Items held constant, feedstock, CO₂ specifications, etc.)
- Performance Modeling
 - Block Flow diagram
 - Material and Energy Balance
 - Water usage
 - Heat Rates, efficiencies, etc.
- Cost Estimating COE and Cost of Carbon Captured
 - Detailed COE calculations
 - Detailed TOC cost estimates
 - Sensitivity Studies
 - Cost of CO₂ Captured



Reporting Requirements

• Remember to:

- Choose a Baseline study that can easily use the new technology
- Justify any variations from the Baseline outside of the new material
- Provide enough detail to reproduce stated number
- Once complete, use the information to guide research

• Consider as a reference:

<u>http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1750583613002521</u>



Acknowledgements

• OPPB

- Kristin Gerdes
- James Fisher
- Morgan Summers

• ESPA

- Vince Chou
- Mark Turner
- Mark Woods



QUESTIONS?



For More Information About the NETL Carbon Capture Program

- NETL Website:
 - <u>www.netl.doe.gov</u>
- Capture Program Website:
 - <u>www.netl.doe.gov/technologies/</u> <u>coalpower/ewr/co2/index.html</u>

Reference Shelf

Annual CO₂ Capture Meeting

Michael S. Matuszewski Technology Manager Carbon Capture Program National Energy Technology Laboratory U. S. Department of Energy (Tel) 412 386-5830 michael.matuszewski@netl.doe.gov

- Office of Fossil Energy website:
 - -<u>www.fe.doe.gov</u>



Use the hyperlinks located in the adjacent blue box to find detailed information on the IEP CO_2 emissions control R&D activities. Information on pre-combustion CO_2 emissions control technology applicable to coal gasification-based (e.g. integrated gasification combined cycle) plants is located at the <u>CO_2 capture</u> webpage of DOE/NETL's <u>Carbon Sequestration Program website</u>.



in cost of energy services.

Prior to FY08, DOE/NETL's CO₂ emissions control R&D effort was conducted under the <u>Carbon Sequestration</u> <u>Program</u>. With responsibility for existing plant CO₂ emissions control R&D now being conducted under the IEP Program, the Carbon Sequestration Program continues to focus on pre-combustion CO₂ emissions control and geological sequestration. Since its inception in 1997, the Carbon Sequestration Program has been developing both core and supporting technologies through which carbon capture and storage (CCS) will become an effective and economically viable option for reducing CO₂ emissions from coal-based power plants. Successful R&D will enable CCS

